

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q78647

Andre APRIOU, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/725,003

Group Art Unit: 1762

Confirmation No.: 3151

Examiner: Bret P. CHEN

Filed: December 2, 2003

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PLASMA BUILDUP OF AN OPTICAL FIBER
PREFORM, WHILE REDUCING NITROGEN OXIDES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
October 29, 2008:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was issued on October 29, 2008.

During the interview, the following was discussed: The rejection of record.

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
2. Identification of claims discussed: None
3. Identification of art discussed: None
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: None
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: That if the Examiner continues to reject
the claims, he should issue a new, non-final, Office Action.

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None
7. Results of Interview: The Examiner was going to issue a new, non-final Office Action.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

/Brian Hannon/

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 28, 2009

Brian W. Hannon
Registration No. 32,778